## IN THE COMMONWEALTH COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Viviette Applewhite, et al.,,				
Petitioners, )				
v. )				
The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, et al., (2) Respondents. (2)	Docket No. 330 MD 12			

## **STIPULATION**

Petitioners and Respondents, by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. There have been no investigations or prosecutions of in-person voter fraud in Pennsylvania; and the parties do not have direct personal knowledge of any such investigations or prosecutions in other states;
- 2. The parties are not aware of any incidents of in-person voter fraud in Pennsylvania and do not have direct personal knowledge of in person voter fraud elsewhere;
- 3. Respondents will not offer any evidence in this action that in-person voter fraud has in fact occurred in Pennsylvania or elsewhere;
- 4. The sole rationale for the Photo ID law that will be introduced by Respondents is that contained in Respondents' Amended answer to Interrogatory 1, served June 7, 2012.
- 5. Respondents will not offer any evidence or argument that in person voter fraud is likely to occur in November 2012 in the absence of the Photo ID law.
- 6. Neither the Governor nor the Attorney General will testify at the hearing on this matter.

Patrick S. Cawley, Esq.
Senior Deputy Attorney General
Civil Litigation Section
15th Floor, Strawberry Square
Harrisburg, PA 17120
Attorney for Respondents

By:

Jennifer R. Clarke
Public Interest Law Center of Philadelphia
1709 Benjamin Franklin Parkway,
2nd Floor
Philadelphia PA 19103

Attorney for Respondents

Attorney for Petitioners

Date: July 12, 2012